arXiv:1612.01856v1 [math.RT] 6 Dec 2016

Another approach to Juhl's conformally covariant differential operators from S^n to S^{n-1}

Jean-Louis Clerc

Abstract

A new construction of Juhl's conformally covariant differential operators from S^n to S^{n-1} (or from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$) is proposed. They are obtained as the composition of a new family of differential operators on S^n, covariant under the subgroup of conformal transformations of S^n preserving S^{n-1}, followed by the restriction from S^n to S^{n-1}.

Introduction

Let $S \sim S^n$ be the n-dimensional sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and let $G \sim SO_0(1, n+1)$ be (the neutral component of) the group of conformal transformations of S. Let $S' \sim S^{n-1}$ be the subspace of points of S with vanishing last coordinate (x_n in our notation) and let $G' \sim SO_0(1, n)$ be the conformal group of S', viewed as the subgroup of G which stabilizes S'. Let $(\pi_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}}$ be the scalar principal series of representations of G acting on $C^\infty(S)$, denote by $\pi_{\lambda|G'}$ its restriction to G'. Let $(\pi'_\mu)_{\mu\in\mathbb{C}}$ be the scalar principal series of G' acting on $C^\infty(S')$.

In [5] A. Juhl has defined a family of differential operators $\big(\mathcal{D}_N(\lambda)\big)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C},N\in\mathbb{N}}$ from $C^\infty(S)$ into $C^\infty(S')$, which are intertwining operators between $\pi_{\lambda|G'}$ and $\pi'_{\lambda+N}$[1]. The same operators are also investigated by T. Kobayashi and B. Speh in [6], under the name of *symmetry breaking* differential operators. In this note, we present a new approach to these operators.

A simple observation is at the heart of this new approach. If F is a function on S which vanishes on S', then, for $g \in G'$, $\pi_\lambda(g)F$ also vanishes on S'. A slightly more sophisticated (but still elementary) version of this observation claims that the operator M on $C^\infty(S)$ given by multiplication

[1] Our λ corresponds to $-\lambda$ in Juhl's notation

by x_n is an intertwining operator w.r.t. $\left(\pi_{\lambda|G'}, \pi_{\lambda-1|G'}\right)$. Next recall the family of Knapp-Stein operators $(I_\mu)_{\mu\in\mathbb{C}}$ which are intertwining operators w.r.t. $(\pi_\mu, \pi_{n-\mu})$. The operator [2]

$$D_\lambda = I_{n-\lambda-1} \circ M \circ I_\lambda$$

obtained by twisting M by the appropriate Knapp-Stein intertwining operators is clearly an intertwining operator w.r.t. $\left(\pi_{\lambda|G'}, \pi_{\lambda+1|G'}\right)$. Our main result (see Theorem 2.1) is that D_λ is a *differential* operator. The proof is obtained in the non compact realization of the principal series (replacing the sphere S^n by the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$) and uses Euclidean Fourier transform. The expression of D_λ in the non compact picture is explicit and simple (see (19)) and in fact it would be easy to directly verify its covariance property.

For N an integer, consider

$$D_{N,\lambda} = D_{\lambda+N-1} \circ \cdots \circ D_{\lambda+1} \circ D_\lambda \quad \text{or} \quad I_{n-\lambda-N} \circ M^N \circ I_\lambda \ .$$

The two operators are shown to be proportional and they are differential operators on S which are covariant w.r.t. $\left(\pi_{\lambda|G'}, \pi_{\lambda+N|G'}\right)$. Finally, let

$$D_N(\lambda) = \mathrm{res} \circ D_{N,\lambda}$$

where res is the restriction map from $C^\infty(S)$ to $C^\infty(S')$. The operator $D_N(\lambda)$ is a differential operator from S to S' which is covariant w.r.t. $\left(\pi_{\lambda|G'}, \pi'_{\lambda+N}\right)$. The family $\left(D_N(\lambda)\right)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}}$ depends holomorphically on λ and essentially coincides with Juhl's family.

Let mention that a similar approach was used before. It was influenced by a reminiscence of the Ω-process which yields both the *transvectants* and the *Rankin-Cohen brackets*, viewed as covariant bi-differential operators for the group $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$, or symmetry breaking differential operators from $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ to its diagonal subgroup. For a presentation of these classical results see section 5 of [3] for a rapid overview or [7] for a thorough exposition of the transvectants. The first use of our approach (see [1] and section 11 of [2]) leads to the construction of bi-differential operators from $C^\infty(S^n \times S^n)$ into $C^\infty(S^n)$, conformally covariant for the group $SO_0(1, n+1)$. The second use (see [3]) also deals with bi-differential operators but for the Grasmmannian $G(n, 2n; \mathbb{R})$ (or its non compact version the matrix space $M_n(\mathbb{R})$) under the action of $SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$.

[2] for technical reasons, a normalizing factor is introduced, see (14)

1 The principal series of $SO_0(1, n+1)$ and the Knapp-Stein intertwining operators

Let E be a Euclidean space of dimension $n+1$, and choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$. Let $S \simeq S^n$ be the unit sphere of E, i.e.

$$S = \{x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n), \quad x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 1\} \ .$$

Let $\mathbb{E}$ be the vector space $\mathbb{R} \oplus E$, with the Lorentzian quadratic form

$$[(t, x), (t', x')] = t^2 - |x|^2 \ .$$

Let $e_{-1} \in \mathbb{E}$ so that $\{e_{-1}, e_0, \ldots, e_n\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\mathbb{E}$. The corresponding coordinates are denoted by $(x_{-1}, x_0, \ldots, x_n)$.

The space of isotropic lines $\mathcal{S}$ in $\mathbb{E}$ can be identified with S by the map

$$S \ni x \longmapsto d_x = \mathbb{R}(1, x) \in \mathcal{S} \ .$$

Let $G \simeq S0_0(1, n+1)$ be the connected component of the group of isometries of $\mathbb{E}$. Then G acts on $\mathcal{S}$ and this action can be transferred to an action on S. More explicitly, if $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in S$, and $g \in G \simeq SO_0(1, n+1)$, observe that $g(1, x)_{-1} > 0$ and define $g(x) \in S$ by

$$(1, g(x)) = (g.(1, x)_{-1})^{-1} \ g.(1, x) \ . \tag{1}$$

Set, for $g \in G$ and $x \in S$

$$\kappa(g, x) = (g.(1, x)_{-1})^{-1} \ . \tag{2}$$

Clearly $\kappa(g, x)$ is a smooth, strictly positive function on $G \times S$ which moreover satisfies the *cocycle property* : for any g_1, g_2 and any $x \in S$,

$$\kappa(g_1 g_2, x) = \kappa\big(g_1, g_2(x)\big)\kappa(g_2, x) \ .$$

This action of G on S is known to be *conformal*. For $g \in G$, $x \in S$ and ξ an arbitrary tangent vector to S at x

$$|Dg(x)\xi| = \kappa(g, x)|\xi|$$

and hence κ is the *conformal factor* of g at x.

For later use, notice that the action of G on S can be extended to an action on the unit ball B in E. In fact, for $x \in B$, the map $x \longmapsto d_x$ is a smooth $1-1$ correspondance between B and the lines in $\mathbb{E}$ contained in

$\{[z,z] \geq 0\}$. In particular the function κ, as defined by (2) can be extended to a (smooth, strictly positive) function on $G \times B$.

Associated to the action of G on S there is a family of representations on $C^\infty(S)$, which, from the point of view of harmonic analysis is the *scalar principal series* of G. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$\pi_\lambda(g)f(x) = \kappa(g^{-1},x)^\lambda f\big(g^{-1}(x)\big) \ . \tag{3}$$

The formula defines a is a (smooth) representation π_λ of G on $C^\infty(S)$.

The Knapp-Stein intertwining operators are a major tool in harmonic analysis of G (as of any semi-simple Lie group). We recall their definition in the present context.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. For $f \in C^\infty(S)$, let

$$\widetilde{I}_\lambda f(x) = \int_S |x-y|^{-2n+2\lambda} f(y)dy \tag{4}$$

where dy stands for the Lebesgue measure on S induced by Euclidean structure. For $\Re\lambda > \frac{n}{2}$, this formula defines a continuous operator $\widetilde{I}_\lambda$ on $C^\infty(S)$.

Proposition 1.1.

i) for $g \in G$,

$$\widetilde{I}_\lambda \circ \pi_\lambda(g) = \pi_{n-\lambda}(g) \circ \widetilde{I}_\lambda \tag{5}$$

ii) the definition (4) *can be analytically continued in λ to $\mathbb{C}$, with simple poles at $\lambda = \frac{n}{2} - k, k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

iii) the analytic continuation yields a meromorphic family of operators I_λ on $C^\infty(S)$, which satisfy the intertwining relation (5).

The proposition leads to define the *renormalized* Knapp-Stein operators

$$I_\lambda = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda - \frac{n}{2})}\widetilde{I}_\lambda \ ,$$

yielding a holomorphic family $(I_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}}$ of intertwining operators.

The following classical result will be needed later.

Proposition 1.2. *For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$I_\lambda \circ I_{n-\lambda} = \frac{\pi^n}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(n-\lambda)} \ \mathrm{id} \ . \tag{6}$$

The next result corresponds to reducibility points for the scalar principal series. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{P}_k$ be the space of restrictions to S of polynomials on E of degree $\leq k$.

Proposition 1.3.

i) Let $\lambda = n + k, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$Im(I_{n+k}) = \mathcal{P}_k, \qquad Ker(I_{n+k}) = \mathcal{P}_k^{\perp} \tag{7}$$

i)) Let $\lambda = -k, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$Ker(I_{-k}) = \mathcal{P}_k, \qquad Im(I_{-k}) = \mathcal{P}_k^{\perp} \ . \tag{8}$$

2 Construction of the G'-intertwining differential operator D_λ on S

Now let $E' = \{x \in E, x_n = 0\}$ and $S' = S \cap E'$. Then S' is a $n-1$-dimensional sphere. Let G' be the subgroup of elements of G of the form

$$g^\sharp = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & g & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

where $g \in SO_0(1,n)$. G' is a subgroup of G, isomorphic to $SO_0(1,n)$. Elements of G' preserve the hyperplane $\{x_n = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{E}$ and hence the action of G' on S preserves S'.

For $x \in E$, write $x = (x', x_n)$, with $x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$g^\sharp.(1, x', x_n) = \big(g.(1, x'), x_n\big) \ . \tag{9}$$

If $x \in S$, notice that x' belongs to B', the closed unit ball in E'. Denote by κ' the conformal factor for the action of G' on S', which as mentioned previously has a natural extension to $G \times B'$. With this notation, (9) implies for $x \in S$ and $g \in SO_0(1,n)$

$$\kappa(g^\sharp, x) = \kappa'(g, x') \tag{10}$$

$$g^\sharp(x)_n = \kappa'(g, x')\, x_n \ . \tag{11}$$

For $g \in G'$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, define the operator $\pi'_\mu(g)$ on $C^\infty(S')$ by

$$\pi'_\mu(g) f(x) = \kappa'(g^{-1}, x)^\mu f\big(g^{-1}(x)\big) \ .$$

Again, this is the scalar principal series for $G' \simeq SO_0(1,n)$.

From now on, for an element of G', we identify $g^\sharp$ and g and denote it simply by g.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be the operator defined on $C^\infty(S)$ by*

$$Mf(x) = x_n\, f(x), \qquad f \in C^\infty(S)\ .$$

The operator M satisfies

$$\forall g \in G' \qquad M \circ \pi_\lambda(g) = \pi_{\lambda-1}(g) \circ M\ . \tag{12}$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of (10). □

Next define D_λ by

$$D_\lambda = I_{n-\lambda-1} \circ M \circ I_\lambda$$

which corresponds to the the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^\infty(S) & \xrightarrow{D_\lambda} & C^\infty(S) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle I_\lambda} & & \Big\uparrow{\scriptstyle I_{n-\lambda-1}} \\ C^\infty(S) & \xrightarrow{M} & C^\infty(S) \end{array}$$

This defines an operator D_λ on $C^\infty(S)$. A consequence of the intertwining property of the Knapp-Stein operators (5) and Proposition 2.1, D_λ satisfies for $g \in G'$

$$D_\lambda \circ \pi_\lambda(g) = \pi_{\lambda+1}(g) \circ D_\lambda\ . \tag{13}$$

Otherwise said, the operator D_λ is covariant w.r.t. $(\pi_\lambda^{G'}, \pi_{\lambda+1}^{G'})$.

Theorem 2.1. *The operator D_λ is a* differential *operator on S.*

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will be given in the next section, after proving a similar statement in the *non compact* picture

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\lambda \in (n+\mathbb{N}) \cup (-1-\mathbb{N})$. Then $D_\lambda = 0$.*

Proof.

Let first $\lambda = n+k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $I_\lambda = I_{n+k}$, and by (7) $Im(I_\lambda) = \mathcal{P}_k$. Next $Im(M \circ I_\lambda) \subset \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$. Now $I_{n-\lambda-1} = I_{-k-1}$ and using (8), $I_{n-\lambda-1} \circ M \circ I_\lambda = 0$.

Now let $\lambda = -k$, with $k \geq 1$. Then $I_\lambda = I_{-k}$ and by (8), $Im(I_\lambda) = \mathcal{P}_k^\perp$. Next $Im(M \circ I_\lambda) \subset \mathcal{P}_1\mathcal{P}_k^\perp \subset \mathcal{P}_{k-1}^\perp$. Now $I_{n-\lambda-1} = I_{n+k-1}$ which using (7) implies $I_{n-\lambda-1} \circ M \circ I_\lambda = 0$. □

To compensate for these zeroes of D_λ, introduce

$$\widetilde{D}_\lambda = \Gamma(\lambda+1)\,\Gamma(n-\lambda)\, D_\lambda \tag{14}$$

for $\lambda \notin (n+\mathbb{N}) \cup (-1-\mathbb{N})$ and extend continuously to all of $\mathbb{C}$ to get a holomorphic family $(\widetilde{D}_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}}$ of differential operators on S, such that $\widetilde{D}_\lambda$ is still covariant w.r.t. $\big(\pi_{\lambda|G'}, \pi_{\lambda+1|G'}\big)$.

3 The non-compact version of D_λ

By a stereographic projection with source in S', say e.g. $(-1, 0, \ldots, 0, 0)$, it is possible to replace the sphere by the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. Points in $\mathbb{R}^n$ will be denoted by Greek letters $\xi, \eta, ...$ The sphere S' is now realized as the hyperplane $\{\xi_n = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. As the stereographic projection is conformal, the transferred action of G (now a rational action) on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is still conformal. We skip details and refer to [5] section 5.2 for a thorough presentation of this passage from the compact picture to the non-compact picture. We choose to keep previous notation for the transferred objects.

The representation space $\mathcal{H}_\lambda$ for π_λ now depends on λ, its description and its topology are not very convenient to work with. However, quite often it will be sufficient to know that

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{H}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \ .$$

These inclusions allow, to a large extend, to use Euclidean Fourier analysis, which is of great help.

The covariance properties with respect to G can be expressed with respect to the action of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $d\pi_\lambda(X)$ is a differential operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ of degree ≤ 1 and with polynomial coefficients, so that $d\pi_\lambda$ preserves $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and its dual.

The Knapp-Stein operators are now convolution operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$. To describe them, consider for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ the expression

$$h_s(\xi) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{s}{2})} \, |\xi|^s, \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \ .$$

For $\Re(s) > -n$, h_s is locally summable with moderate growth at infinity, hence defines a tempered distribution. The ($\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$-valued) function $s \mapsto h_s$ can be extended by analytic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ and the Γ factor in the definition of h_s is so chosen that it extends as an *entire* function with values in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (for more details see e.g. [4]). The following formulæ will be needed in the sequel

$$|\xi|^2 \, h_s(\xi) = \frac{n+s}{2} \ h_{s+2}(\xi) \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} h_s(\xi) = \frac{2s}{n+s-2} \ \xi_n \, h_{s-2}(\xi), \tag{16}$$

where at $s = -n+2$, the last formula has to be understood by analytic continuation.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the (normalized) Knapp-Stein intertwining operator I_λ is given by

$$I_\lambda f = h_{-2n+2\lambda} \star f \ .$$

As for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$ h_s is a tempered distribution, I_λ maps $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Recall that the sphere S' now corresponds to the hyperplane $\xi_n = 0$, and the operator M turns out to be the multiplication by ξ_n. Notice that M maps $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself.

Define the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^n$ as usual by

$$\widehat{f}(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle \eta, \xi \rangle} f(\xi) d\xi$$

initially for functions in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and extend by duality to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The Fourier transform of h_s is given by

$$\widehat{h}_s = 2^{n+s} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \, h_{-n-s} \tag{17}$$

For this result see e.g. [4].

Thanks to the above observations, it is possible to define the composition $M \circ I_\lambda$ as an operator from $\mathcal{S}'\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 3.1. *For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \big((M \circ I_\lambda) f\big)\widehat{\ }(\eta) = -i \, \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{-n+2\lambda} \quad \times \\ \Big(h_{n-2\lambda}(\eta) \frac{\partial \widehat{f}}{\partial \eta_n}(\eta) + \frac{n-2\lambda}{n-\lambda-1} \, \eta_n \, h_{n-2\lambda-2}(\eta) \widehat{f}(\eta) \Big) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Proof. The Knapp-Stein operator is a convolution operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$, so

$$(I_\lambda f)\widehat{\ }(\eta) = \widehat{h}_{-2n+2\lambda}(\eta) \widehat{f}(\eta) = 2^{-n+2\lambda} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} h_{n-2\lambda}(\eta) \widehat{f}(\eta)$$

Next, for any distribution $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\widehat{M\varphi} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_n} \widehat{\varphi}$$

and hence (18) follows, using (16). □

The composition $I_{n-\lambda-1} \circ M \circ I_\lambda$ is not well defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, a formal computation (using again Fourier transforms) can be made and leads to a differential operator, which inspires the definition (19) below. In order to give a rigorous argument, we have to use an indirect route.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let E_λ be the differential operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$E_\lambda = (2\lambda - n + 2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} + \xi_n \Delta \tag{19}$$

where $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j}^2$ is the usual Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Notice that the operator E_λ maps $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$) into itself, so that we may consider the composition $I_{\lambda+1} \circ E_\lambda$.

Lemma 3.2. *For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\begin{gathered}\big((I_{\lambda+1} \circ E_\lambda)f\big)\widehat{\ }(\eta) = (-i)2^{2\lambda-n+2}\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \times \\ \Big((\lambda - n + 1)h_{n-2\lambda}(\eta)\frac{\partial \widehat{f}}{\partial \eta_n}(\eta) + (2\lambda - n)\,\eta_n\, h_{-2\lambda+n-2}(\eta)\widehat{f}(\eta)\Big)\end{gathered} \tag{20}$$

Proof.

$$\big(E_\lambda f\big)\widehat{\ }(\eta) = (-i)(2\lambda - n + 2)\eta_n \widehat{f}(\eta) + (-i)\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_n}\big(-|\eta|^2 \widehat{f}(\eta)\big)$$

$$= (-i)\Big((2\lambda - n)\eta_n \widehat{f}(\eta) - |\eta|^2 \frac{\partial \widehat{f}}{\partial \eta_n}(\eta)\Big)\ .$$

Next

$$\big((I_{\lambda+1} \circ E_\lambda)f\big)\widehat{\ }(\eta) = \widehat{h}_{-2n+2\lambda+2}(\eta)(E_\lambda f)\widehat{\ }(\eta)$$

$$= 2^{2\lambda-n+2}\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}(-i)\Big((2\lambda-n)\eta_n h_{-2\lambda+n-2}(\eta)\widehat{f}(\eta) - (-\lambda+n-1)h_{-2\lambda+n}(\eta)\frac{\partial \widehat{f}}{\partial \eta_n}(\eta)\Big)$$

□

Comparaison of the two previous formalæ yields the next result.

Lemma 3.3.

$$M \circ I_\lambda = \frac{1}{4(\lambda - n + 1)} I_{\lambda+1} \circ E_\lambda \tag{21}$$

Remark. For $\lambda = n - 1$, $I_{\lambda+1} = I_n$ is equal (up to a constant $\neq 0$) to the operator $f \longmapsto \big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\xi)d\xi\big)\ 1$. Now for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} E_\lambda f(\xi)d\xi = 0$ as is easily seen by integration by parts. Hence, $I_{\lambda+1} \circ E_\lambda$ vanishes for $\lambda = n - 1$, so that (21) has to be interpreted at a residue formula.

Proposition 3.1. *The operator E_λ is covariant w.r.t. $(d\pi_{\lambda|\mathfrak{g}'}, \pi_{\lambda+1|\mathfrak{g}'})$.*

Proof. The operator $M \circ I_\lambda$ is covariant w.r.t. $(d\pi_{\lambda|\mathfrak{g}'}, d\pi_{-n-\lambda-1|\mathfrak{g}'})$, and by Lemma 3.3, $I_{\lambda+1} \circ E_\lambda$ is also covariant. Hence for $X \in \mathfrak{g}'$,

$$I_{\lambda+1} \circ E_\lambda \circ d\pi_\lambda(X) = d\pi_{n-\lambda-1}(X) \circ I_{\lambda+1}(g) \circ E_\lambda = I_{\lambda+1} \circ d\pi_{\lambda+1}(X) \circ E_\lambda \ .$$

But for generic λ, $I_{\lambda+1}$ is injective on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The statement follows for generaic λ and for all by analytic continuation, as E_λ depends holomorphically on λ.

□

Now Theorem 2.1. is proved following standard arguments. First, as the operator E_λ is covariant under the infinitesimal action of G', it is invariant under the local action of G', in the sense that

$$(E_\lambda \circ \pi_\lambda(g))\, f = (\pi_{\lambda+1}(g) \circ E_\lambda)\, f$$

for all smooth functions f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with compact support such that g is defined on a neighborhood of $Supp(f) \supset Supp(E_\lambda f)$. The operator E_λ then corresponds to an operator *globally* defined on the sphere S. Roughly speaking, this is because the coordinate change between (say) the two stereographic corresponding to $(-1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and to its opposite $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is given by an element of G' (see [5] or [6] for more details). Abusing once more notation, let E_λ be the corresponding differential operator on S. It satisfies

$$M \circ I_\lambda = \frac{1}{4(\lambda - n + 1)} I_{\lambda+1} \circ E_\lambda \ .$$

By (6), for generic λ, $I_{\lambda+1}$ is invertible and its inverse is (a multiple $\neq 0$ of) $I_{n-\lambda-1}$. In other words, $D_\lambda = I_{n-\lambda-1} \circ M \circ I_\lambda$ is a multiple of E_λ, hence a differential operator. The general statement follows by analytic continuation in λ.

4 The families $D_{\lambda,N}, \widetilde{D}_{\lambda,N}$ and $D_N(\lambda)$

For $N \geq 1$, set

$$\widetilde{D}_{\lambda,N} = \widetilde{D}_{\lambda+N-1} \circ \cdots \circ \widetilde{D}_\lambda \tag{22}$$

Let M^N be the operator on $C^\infty(S)$ given by multiplication by x_n^N. Set

$$D_{\lambda,N} = I_{n-N-\lambda} \circ M^N \circ I_\lambda \ . \tag{23}$$

Proposition 4.1.

i) $\widetilde{D}_{\lambda,N}$ *and* $D_{\lambda,N}$ *are differential operators on* S *which intertwine* $\pi_{\lambda|G'}$ *and* $\pi_{\lambda+N|G'}$.

ii) the following is satisfied

$$\widetilde{D}_{\lambda,N} = \pi^{n(N-1)}\Gamma(\lambda+N)\Gamma(n-\lambda-N)D_{\lambda,N} \ . \tag{24}$$

Proof. Repeated uses of (12) show that, for any $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, M^N intertwines $\pi_{\mu|G'}$ and $\pi_{\mu-N|G'}$. Hence $D_{\lambda,N}$ intertwines $\pi_{\lambda|G'}$ and $\pi_{\lambda+N|G'}$. On the other hand, repeated uses of (13) proves that $\widetilde{D}_{\lambda,N}$ also intertwines $\pi_{\lambda|G'}$ and $\pi_{\lambda+N|G'}$.

Next, $\widetilde{D}_{\lambda,N}$ as a composition of differential operators is a differential operator. So it remains to prove (24).

For each $j, 0 \leq j \leq N-1$ substitute $D_{\lambda+j} = I_{n-\lambda-j-1} \circ M \circ I_{\lambda+j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq N-1$ to get

$$D_{\lambda+N-1} \circ D_{\lambda+N-2} \circ \cdots \circ D_\lambda =$$

$$I_{-\lambda+n-N} \circ \cdots \circ I_{-\lambda+n-j-1} \circ M \circ I_{\lambda+j} \circ I_{-\lambda+n-j} \circ M \circ I_{\lambda+j-1} \circ \cdots \circ I_\lambda$$

and use (6) repeatedly for $\lambda + j$ to obtain

$$D_{\lambda+N-1} \circ D_{\lambda+N-2} \circ \cdots \circ D_\lambda =$$

$$= \pi^{n(N-1)} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N-1} \Gamma(\lambda+j)\Gamma(n-\lambda-j) \right)^{-1} I_{-\lambda+n-N} \circ M^N \circ I_\lambda \ .$$

Multiply by the appropriate Γ factors coming from (14) to get the formula. □

Let $\mathrm{res} : C^\infty(S) \longrightarrow C^\infty(S')$ be the restriction map from S to S', defined for $f \in C^\infty(S)$ by $(\mathrm{res}\, f)(x) = f(x), x \in S'$. Then for $g \in G'$,

$$\mathrm{res} \circ \pi_\lambda(g) = \pi'_\lambda(g) \circ \mathrm{res} \ . \tag{25}$$

Define the differential operator $D_N(\lambda) : C^\infty(S) \longrightarrow C^\infty(S')$ by

$$D_N(\lambda) = \mathrm{res} \circ \widetilde{D}_{\lambda,N} \ . \tag{26}$$

Theorem 4.1. $D_N(\lambda)$ *satisfies*

$$\forall g \in G' \qquad D_N(\lambda) \circ \pi_\lambda(g) = \pi'_{\lambda+N}(g) \circ D_N(\lambda) \ . \tag{27}$$

The proof follows immediately of the covariance property of $\widetilde{D}_{\lambda,N}$ and of the restriction map (25).

5 The family $E_N(\lambda)$

The previous constructions made for S and S' can be made in a similar manner in the non compact picture, i.e. for $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $E_{\lambda,N}$ be defined by

$$E_{\lambda,N} = E_{\lambda+N-1} \circ \cdots \circ E_\lambda$$

and

$$E_N(\lambda) = \mathrm{res} \circ E_{\lambda,N}$$

where res is the restriction from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Then $E_{\lambda,N}$ is a differential operator which is covariant w.r.t. $\left(\pi_{\lambda\,|G'}, \pi_{\lambda+N\,|G'}\right)$ and $E_N(\lambda)$ is covariant w.r.t. $\left(\pi_{\lambda\,|G'}, \pi'_{\lambda+N}\right)$.

In this last section, we compare $E_N(\lambda)$ with Juhl's operator for the non compact model. For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, introduce the notation $\xi = (\xi', \xi_n)$ where $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Let $\Delta' = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_j^2}$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $E : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ be a differential operator and assume that E is covariant w.r.t. $(\pi_{\lambda\,|G'}, \pi'_{\lambda+N})$ of some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exits a family of complex constants $a_j, 0 \leq j \leq [\frac{N}{2}]$ such that*

$$E = \mathrm{res} \circ \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{N}{2}]} a_j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n}\right)^{N-2j} \Delta'^j \ .$$

Proof. By the definition of a differential operator from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, E can be written as a locally finite sum

$$\sum_{i,J} a_{i,J}(\xi')\, \mathrm{res} \circ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n}\right)^i \partial^J$$

where J is a $(n-1)$-uple $(j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{n-1})$, $\partial^J = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k}\right)^{j_k}$ and $a_{i,J}$ is a smooth function of $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

The invariance by translations forces the $a_{i,J}$ to be constants (and also the sum to be finite). The invariance by $SO(n-1)$ forces the expression to be of the form

$$\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n}\right)^i (\Delta')^j$$

and finally the covariance under the action of the dilations forces $i+2j = N$. The statement follows. □

Notice that the proof uses only the covariance property for the parabolic subgroup of affine conformal diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. The full covariance condition implies further conditions on the coefficients a_j, explicitly written by A. Juhl (see [5] condition (5.1.2) for N even and (5.1.22) for N odd), proving in particular that there exists (up to a constant) a unique covariant differential operator.

In particular the operator $E_N(\lambda)$ must be proportional to Juhl's operator. Now let

$$E_N(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{N}{2}]} a_j(\lambda, N) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n}\right)^{N-2j} \Delta'^j \ .$$

To find the ratio between the two operators, it is enough to know some coefficient of $E_N(\lambda)$ and to compare it to the corresponding coefficient of Juhl's operator. It turns out that the coefficient $a_0(\lambda, N)$ is rather easy to compute.

Lemma 5.1.

i) for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$E_\mu \, \xi_n^k = k(2\mu - n + 1 + k)\xi_n^{k-1} \tag{28}$$

ii) for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ *and for* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$E_{\lambda,N} \left(\xi_n^N\right) = N! \, (2\lambda - n + N + 1)(2\lambda - n + N + 2) \ldots (N + 2\lambda - n + 2N) \tag{29}$$

iii)

$$a_0(\lambda, N) = (2\lambda - n + N + 1)(2\lambda - n + N + 2) \ldots (2\lambda - n + 2N) \tag{30}$$

Proof. Let f be a function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which depends only on ξ_n. Then $\Delta' f = 0$, and

$$E_\mu f = \left((2\mu - n + 2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} + \xi_n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_n^2}\right) \ f,$$

so that *i)* and *ii)* are reduced to elementary one variable computations. For *iii)* observe that

$$E_{\lambda,N} \left(\xi_n^N\right) = a_0(\lambda) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n}\right)^N \left(\xi_n^N\right) + 0 + \cdots + 0 = N! \, a_0(\lambda) \ ,$$

hence $E_N(\lambda) \left(\xi_n^N\right) = N! \, a_0(\lambda)$ and *iii)* follows. □

The comparison with Juh'ls operator is then easy. As his normalization depends on the parity of N, one has to examine two cases. Both lead to similar results, and $E_{\lambda,N}$ is his operator multiplied by an extra polynomial in λ of degree $[\frac{N+1}{2}]$. Notice that, contrary to our approach in the compact picture, we did not try for the non compact situation to introduce normalizing factors in order to cancel the zeroes of $E_N(\lambda)$.

Examples.

$$E_1(\lambda) = (2\lambda - n + 2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \ , \tag{31}$$

$$E_2(\lambda) = (2\lambda - n + 4)\left((2\lambda - n + 3)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi_n^2} + \Delta'\right) \ . \tag{32}$$

6 A computation in the compact picture

Let χ be the function on S defined by $\chi(x) = x_n$. Also let $\mathbf{1} = (0, 0, \ldots, 0, 1)$. Observe that for $x \in S$

$$\chi(x) = x_n = \langle \mathbf{1}, x\rangle = 1 - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{1} - x|^2 \ . \tag{33}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$a_k(\lambda) = I_\lambda(\chi^k)(\mathbf{1}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda - \frac{n}{2})}\int_S |\mathbf{1} - x|^{-2n+2\lambda}\, x_n^k\, dx \tag{34}$$

Lemma 6.1.

i) $\quad a_0(\lambda) = (\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2})^n \frac{2^{2\lambda}}{\Gamma(\lambda)}$

ii) $\quad a_1(\lambda) = (\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2})^n \frac{2^{2\lambda}}{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}(-\lambda + n)$

iii) $\quad a_2(\lambda) = \big(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\big)^n \ \frac{2^{2\lambda}}{\Gamma(\lambda+2)}\big(\lambda^2 + (-2n+1)\lambda + n^2)\big)$

Proof. Let

$$a(s) = \int_S |\mathbf{1} - x|^s\, dx = (2\sqrt{\pi})^n\, 2^s\, \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + \frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + n)} \, . \tag{35}$$

Now

$$a_0(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda - \frac{n}{2})} a(-2n + 2\lambda).$$

Next use (33) to get

$$a_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda - \frac{n}{2})}\int_S |\mathbf{1} - x|^{-2n+2\lambda}\big(1 - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{1} - x|^2\big)dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda - \frac{n}{2})}\big(a(-2n+\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}\,a(-2n+2\lambda+2)\big)\ .$$

Similarly

$$\chi(x)^2 = \big(1 - \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{1}-x|^2\big)^2 = 1 - |\mathbf{1}-x|^2 + \frac{1}{4}(|\mathbf{1}-x|^4),$$

so that

$$a_2(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda - \frac{n}{2})}\big(a(-2n+\lambda) - a(-2n+2\lambda+2) + \frac{1}{4}a(-2n+2\lambda+4)\big)$$

and hence i), ii) and iii) follow from (35) by routine computation. □

Lemma 6.2.

i)	$I_\lambda(1) = a_0(\lambda)$
ii)	$I_\lambda(\chi) = a_1(\lambda)\chi$
iii)	$I_\lambda(\chi^2) = \big(\frac{n+1}{n}a_2(\lambda) - \frac{1}{n}a_0(\lambda)\big)\chi_n^2 + \frac{1}{n}\big(-a_2(\lambda) + a_0(\lambda)\big)$

Proof. Recall that I_λ commutes to the natural action of the rotation group $SO(n+1)$ and hence is scalar on any space of homogeneous spherical harmonics. Hence $I_\lambda(1)$ is a constant function on S, which is equal to its value at $\mathbf{1}$ and i) follows.

As χ is a harmonic polynomial homogeneous of degree 1, $I_\lambda\chi$ is proportional to χ. As $\chi_n(\mathbf{1}) = 1$, ii) follows.

Now χ^2 is not harmonic, its decomposition as a sum of homogeneous spherical harmonics is given by

$$\chi^2 = \big(\chi^2 - \frac{1}{n+1}\big) + \frac{1}{n+1}$$

Now $I_\lambda\big(\chi^2 - \frac{1}{n+1}\big)$ is proportional to $\big(\chi^2 - \frac{1}{n+1}\big)$. As $\big(\chi^2 - \frac{1}{n+1}\big)(\mathbf{1}) = \frac{n}{n+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda\big(\chi^2 - \frac{1}{n+1}\big) &= \frac{n+1}{n} I_\lambda\big(\chi^2 - \frac{1}{n+1}\big)(\mathbf{1})\,\big(\chi_n^2 - \frac{1}{n+1}\big) \\ &= \big(\frac{n+1}{n}\big)\big((a_2(\lambda) - \frac{1}{n+1}a_0(\lambda)\big)\big(\chi_n^2 - \frac{1}{n+1}\big) \end{aligned}$$

As $I_\lambda\big(\frac{1}{n+1}\big) = \frac{1}{n+1}\,a_0(\lambda)$, iii) follows. □

Lemma 6.3.

$$I_\lambda(\chi^2) = \big(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\big)^n \frac{2^{2\lambda}}{\Gamma(\lambda+2)}\Big((\lambda-n)(\lambda-(n+1))\chi^2 + 2(\lambda - \frac{n}{2})\Big)$$

Proof. Just replace $a_0(\lambda)$ and $a_2(\lambda)$ by their values given by Lemma 6.2. □

Lemma 6.4.

$$\widetilde{D}_\lambda(\chi) = -\frac{\pi^n}{4}\Big((\lambda+1)(\lambda+2)\chi^2 + (n-2\lambda-2)\Big) \tag{36}$$

Proof. First $I_\lambda(\chi) = a_1(\lambda)\chi$. Then $(M \circ I_\lambda) = a_1(\lambda)\chi^2$, so that

$$D_\lambda(\chi) = a_1(\lambda) I_{n-\lambda-1}(\chi^2)$$

and use previous lemmas to finish the computation. Finally, multiply by $\Gamma(\lambda+1)\Gamma(n-\lambda)$ to conclude. □

References

[1] R. Beckmann and J-L. Clerc, *Singular invariant trilinear forms and covariant (bi)-differential operators under the conformal group*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), 4341–4376

[2] J.-L. Clerc, *Singular conformally invariant trilinear forms, II the higher multiplicity cases*, to appear in Transformation Groups

[3] J.-L. Clerc, *Covariant bi-differential operators on matrix space*, to appear in Ann. Inst. Fourier

[4] I. Gelfand and G. Shilov, *Generalized functions*, vol. **1**, Academic Press (1964)

[5] A. Juhl, *Families of conformally covariant differential operators, Q-curvature and holography*, Progress in Mathematics **275** (2009), Birkhäuser Verlag

[6] T. Kobayashi and B. Speh, *Symmetry breaking for representations of rank one orthogonal groups*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **238** (2015), no. 1126

[7] P. Olver, *Classical invariant theory*, Student Texts **44**, London Mathematical Society, Cambridge University Press (1999)

Address
Institut Élie Cartan, Université de Lorraine 54506 Vandœuvre-lès Nancy (France)
jean-louis.clerc@univ-lorraine.fr